

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,056	07/31/2003	Michael S. Pazar	568/259	3596
75	90 03/02/2005		EXAMINER	
Vincent J. Gnoffo BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE	
P.O. BOX 1039	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60610		3635	
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	X
Office Action Summany		10/633,056	PAZAR, MICHAEL S.	`
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
_		Yvonne M. Horton	3635	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re pply within the statutory minimum of thirty In will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI In the cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	December 2004.		
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 87-100</u> is/are pending in the	he application.		
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	• •		
5)⊠	Claim(s) 87-100 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the Copi	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been a au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not r	received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/633,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claim 14 is withdrawn in view of a more detailed review of the reference(s) to MARTIN. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,2,4-6,13 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #2,075,859 to MARTIN. MARTIN discloses the use of a record keeping apparatus (8) embedded in a material, lines 40-41; having a means (9) for maintaining a fixed position within the embedded material, column 1, line 57 to column 2, line 4; and including at least one archival media containing information concealed therein, lines 18-23. In reference to claim 2, the material is cement also commonly known as concrete. Regarding claims 4-6, the receptacle (8) includes at least one cap (11) being formed from a non-corrosive metal, line 24, or plastic material, lines 44-48, and having threads (TR) as a means for releasably sealing the cap (11), see the marked attachment. In reference o claim 13, the receptacle (8) further includes a groove (G) that aids in maintaining the receptacle (8) within the material. Regarding claim 16, the receptacle (8) is cylindrical.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #2,075,859 to MARTIN. MARTIN discloses the basic claimed apparatus except for media information explicitly including a burial location. The receptacle (8) of MARTIN includes information about the deceased, lines 18-23; however MARTIN is not explicit as to what information is provided. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the receptacle with whatever information so desired. The situation and the person being buried would dictate the information needed for display or needed to be provided therein.

Claims 7-9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #2,075,859 to MARTIN in view of US Patent #4,697,316 to SEMON.

MARTIN discloses the basic claimed receptacle except for the use of seal or O-ring.

SEMON teaches that it is known in the art to provide a receptacle with a seal (74) in the form of an O-ring between the receptacle (26,28) and the cap (30). Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the receptacle of MARTIN with the O-ring seal of SEMON in order to provide a tight and secure grip between the cap and receptacle thereby preventing water or any other fluids from entering the receptacle and damaging its contents.

Regarding claim 9, neither MARTIN nor SEMON discloses the use of a groove to receive the O-ring. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the receptacle with groove in order

to ensure that the O-ring doesn't slip thereby ensuring an even further tight fit between the cap and receptacle.

Claims 10-12 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #2,075,859 to MARTIN in view of US Patent #6,463,703 to MATTIS.

MARTIN discloses the basic claimed receptacle except for the use of a sealing means and adhesive. MATTIS teaches that it is known in the art to provide a receptacle (18) with a sealing means (52,56,58) between the receptacle (18) and the cap (50); wherein the sealing means is an adhesive (56). Regarding claim 12, MATTIS does not explicitly detail that his adhesive is an epoxy resin; however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 87-100 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In view of a more detailed review of MARTIN, the applicant's arguments are considered moot because the large or widened head (9) of MARTIN is clearly disclosed as a means of maintaining the receptacle (8) in a fixed position within the material, column 1, line 57 to column 2, line 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,056

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 mation regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yvonne M. Horton Art Unit 3635 2/24/05 Page 5